



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/651,843

08/29/2003

Richard L. Wilder

IGT1P277/P000798-001

8136

79646

7590

07/20/2010

Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP - IGT

Attn: IGT

P.O. Box 70250

Oakland, CA 94612-0250

EXAMINER

PANDYA, SUNIT

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3714

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/20/2010

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@wavsip.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/651,843	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WILDER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SUNIT PANDYA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3714	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/15/10</u> .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

This action is in response to amendment filed on 6/15/2010, wherein the examiner acknowledges that claims 1, 6-9 & 14-20 have been amended, claim 5 has been canceled, and no claims have been added. Consequently, claims 1-3 & 6-20 are currently pending.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/15/2010 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-13 & 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rowe et al. (US Patent Publication 2001/0044337).

Claims 1, 7, 9, 15 & 19: Rowe et al. discloses a system configured to offer a wagering event to a player comprising, multiple gaming terminals, wherein each terminal contains a display, to display wagering event information to the players (0025 &

Art Unit: 3714

figure 3, wherein element 24 is one of multiple portable gaming devices with display for displaying wagering information). Rowe et al. also discloses a player interface to receive inputs from players (figure 3, element 104, which are buttons for player inputs), and a monetary interface or card interface to accept wager from players (figure 3, element 140 card input slot & 0027 discloses monetary input interface). Rowe et al. also discloses a first terminal interface configured to selectively switch for the first gamer terminal interface and a second terminal interface configured to selectively switch for the second gamer terminal interface (figure 3 wherein all input modules are in communication with the game system, and the switch allows the interface to change all aspect of the terminal, including display, input and monetary, see [0010], [0036] & [0037]). Rowe et al. also discloses a memory to store machine readable game codes, for a first game type and a second game type, wherein the first game type is different than the second game type ([0036] discloses memory to store game codes for each of game device, wherein each game device has plurality of player selectable games and each device is capable of playing one of plurality of games available [0025]). Rowe et al. also discloses an audio interface having multiple channels configured to communicate with multiple gaming terminals ([0075], wherein each game device is independent of each other, thus, Rowe et al. inherently discloses multi-channel transmission). Rowe et al. further discloses a central processor configured to execute the machine readable game code to concurrently run the first game on the first game terminal and the second game on the second game terminal, and to transmit control signals to the input of the audio interface ([0058], [0061] & [0075]), wherein the first and

Art Unit: 3714

the second gaming terminal each lacking a local processor and the game terminals relying on the central processor [0059-0061]. Rowe et al. further discloses the first terminal and the second terminal each having a CPU input and an audio input, and each selectively routes control between the central processor and the corresponding game terminal ([0025], [0036]-[0037], [0059]-[0061] & [0075]).

Claims 2 & 3: Rowe et al. discloses a touch screen display, that is configured as the player interface (0040)

Claim 6: Rowe et al. discloses the control module, in communication with the gaming terminals via a network (0059).

Claims 8 & 16: Rowe et al. discloses a terminal interface comprising a network interface card (0047 & 0093).

Claim 10: Rowe et al. discloses control module device comprising, a processor, a memory, video adapter and audio adapter (0059-0060).

Claim 11: Rowe et al. discloses one or more terminal comprising a video adapter (figure 3).

Claims 12 & 13: Rowe et al. discloses memory and processor being remote from the game terminals (at a server, 0059), wherein the processor communicates with multiple gaming terminals through a network (0047 & 0093).

Claims 17 & 20: Rowe et al. discloses a single controller controlling multiple wagering events (0036 & 0059-0061).

Claim 18: Rowe et al. discloses each gaming terminal comprises a display and a player interface (figure 3).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rowe et al. in view of Stepan et al. (US Patent 4,621,814).

Claim 14: Rowe et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Rowe et al. fails to disclose having the first gaming terminals within the same housing as the second gaming terminal. Stepan teaches an amusement device housing that allows multiple gaming devices to be placed in the same housing (see figure 1 and abstract). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the gaming device disclosed by Rowe et al., to allow multiple gaming devices to be placed in the same housing, thus reducing space being occupied by multiple gaming machines in a gaming facility.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed on 6/15/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the applicant's arguments that Rowe et al., does not anticipate claim 1, because Rowe et al. doesn't teach a system having multiple game terminals, each

Art Unit: 3714

lacking a processor, that rely on a remote central processor to execute game code for different game types, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Rowe et al., in [0025], discloses a gaming system that includes one or more hand held, portable gaming devices. Rowe et al., also discloses the gaming system that includes plurality of game terminals wherein each terminal is lacking a local processor and the game terminals relying on the central processor to execute game code. In paragraph [0059], Rowe et al., disclose an embodiment wherein the gaming control code is not resident of the personal gaming device, but instead at a secure remote server, and wherein the game is executed at the secure gaming server and transmitted to the personal gaming device, however the personal gaming device is arranged to accept input player inputs and the inputs are transmitted to the gaming server, so that the game can be executed.

The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are deemed non-persuasive. Consequently, the rejection is maintained.

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3714

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUNIT PANDYA whose telephone number is (571)272-2823. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dmitry Suhol can be reached on 571-272-4430. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JAMES S. MCCLELLAN/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

SP